

# Convolutional Composer Classification

Harsh Verma, John Thickstun

## Probabilistic Classification

Learn to attribute a score  $\mathbf{x}$  to a composer  $y_i$ :

$$p(y_i|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(\mathbf{x})_{y_i})}{\sum_{k=1}^C \exp(f_\theta(\mathbf{x})_{y_k})}.$$

- How do we featurize a score  $\mathbf{x}$ ?
- How do we parameterize the classifier  $f_\theta(\mathbf{x})$ ?

## Digital Scores

Visual Representation

Digital (Kern) Representation



23: 2..r 2..r 2..r 2..r  
 24: 8r 8r 8r 8dd  
 25: =1 =1 =1 =1  
 26: 1r 1r 8r 4dd  
 27: . . 8f# 8a .  
 28: . . 8a 8f# 8f#  
 29: . . 8a 8f# 16ee  
 30: . . . 16dd

## Featurizing a Score

### Tensor Representation

We encode a score as a 3-axis binary tensor:

$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S} = \{0, 1\}^{T \times P \times (N+D+1)}.$$

- $\mathbf{x}_{t,p,n} = 1$  iff pitch  $n$  occurs at time  $t$  in spine  $p$ ,
- $\mathbf{x}_{t,p,N+d} = 1$  iff note-value  $d$  occurs at time  $t$  in spine  $p$ ,
- $\mathbf{x}_{t,p,N+D} = 1$  iff pitch  $n$  continues at time  $t$  in spine  $p$ ,

- $T$  - The number of rows of pitch/note-value data in the score  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- $P$  - The maximum number of concurrent \*\*kern columns (spines).
- $N$  - The number of pitches, ranging from C1 to F#7.
- $D$  - The number of distinct note values (i.e. durations).

$\mathbf{f}$  and  $\mathbf{d}$  denote pitch & duration components of the score:

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f} \oplus \mathbf{d}, \quad \mathbf{f} \in \{0, 1\}^{T \times P \times N}, \quad \mathbf{d} \in \{0, 1\}^{T \times P \times (D+1)}.$$

## Classification Models

### Model #1: Voice Convolution

Convolve over each spine (part); pool the part representations:

$$h_{t,p}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \text{relu} \left( (W_\theta^1)^\top \mathbf{x}_{t:t+n,p} \right), \quad W_\theta^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n(N+D+1) \times k},$$

$$h_{t,p}^2(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \text{relu} \left( (W_\theta^2)^\top h_{t:t+n,p}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) \right), \quad W_\theta^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 k_2 \times k_2},$$

$$h_{\text{conv}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \frac{1}{TP} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{p=1}^P h_{t,p}^2(\mathbf{x}; \theta),$$

$$f_\theta(\mathbf{x}) = (W_\theta)^\top h_{\text{conv}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta), \quad W_\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+D+1) \times C}.$$

### Model #2: Harmonic Convolution

Convolve over frequencies across parts; pool temporally:

$$h_{t,u}(\mathbf{f}; \theta) = \text{relu} \left( (W_\theta^1)^\top \mathbf{f}_{t:t+n,u;u+j} \right), \quad W_\theta^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{jP \times k},$$

$$h_t(\mathbf{f}; \theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{u=1}^N h_{t,u}(\mathbf{f}; \theta),$$

$$h_t^2(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \text{relu} \left( (W_\theta^2)^\top h_t(\mathbf{f}; \theta) + (W_\theta^3)^\top \mathbf{d}_t \right), \quad W_\theta^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k_2}, W_\theta^3 \in \mathbb{R}^{(D+1) \times k_2},$$

$$h_{\text{harmonic}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T h_t^2(\mathbf{x}; \theta),$$

$$f_\theta(\mathbf{x}) = (W_\theta)^\top h_{\text{harmonic}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta), \quad W_\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+D+1) \times C}.$$

### Model #3: Voice/Harmonic Hybrid

Combine the representations of Models 1 and 2; train jointly:

$$f_\theta(\mathbf{x}) = (W_\theta^c)^\top h_{\text{conv}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) + (W_\theta^h)^\top h_{\text{harmonic}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta), \quad W_\theta^c \in \mathbb{R}^{k_2 \times C}, W_\theta^h \in \mathbb{R}^{k_2 \times C}.$$

## Hybrid Model Results

### Overall Results

Composer	Dates	Sub-Collection	Scores	Accuracy
Du Fay	1397-1474	Choral	35	74.3
Ockeghem	1410-1497	Choral	98	72.4
Busnois	1430-1492	Choral	68	60.3
Martini	1440-1497	Choral	122	73.8
Compere	1445-1518	Choral	27	37.0
Josquin	1450-1521	Choral	423	82.3
de la Rue	1452-1518	Choral	178	79.2
Orto	1460-1529	Choral	43	48.8
Japart	1474-1507	Choral	22	13.6
Corelli	1653-1713	Chamber	188	95.2
Vivaldi	1678-1741	Chamber	33	54.5
Bach	1685-1750	Choral/Keyboard	466	97.6
D. Scarlatti	1685-1757	Keyboard	59	72.9
Haydn	1732-1809	Chamber	209	82.3
Mozart	1756-1791	Chamber/Keyboard	151	67.5
Beethoven	1770-1827	Keyboard	169	89.3
Hummel	1778-1837	Keyboard	24	91.7
Chopin	1810-1849	Keyboard	76	68.3
Joplin	1868-1917	Keyboard	47	91.5
Overall	1397-1917	-	2,438	81.7

### 3 Composer Confusion Matrix

	Bach	Haydn	Beethoven
Bach	99.8	0.2	0.0
Haydn	3.4	93.3	3.3
Beethoven	3.0	10.6	86.4



<http://homes.cs.washington.edu/~thickstn/ismir2019classification/>

